

# GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**Appeal No. 111/2016**

Jacinta H. Sousa Mergulhao,  
R/o 13/A Adsulim,  
Benaulim Salcete Goa.Pin. 403716.

..... Appellant

**V/s.**

1. Shri Remedious Fernandes, APIO,  
Asst. Executive Engineer,  
Div. VIII(MRT) Vidyut Bhawan,  
Aquem Margao Goa.

2. Shri T.S. Vincent  
State Public Information officer,  
DIV XVI, Vidyut Bhawan Colony,  
Qrts. No. D-2 Aquem margao Goa.

3. First Appellate Authority  
Shri L.D. Kolvekar,  
Superintending Engineer-1(S),  
Circle I(South) Vidyut Bhawan,  
Aquem Margao Goa.

..... Respondents

**CORAM:**

**Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar**, State Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 30/05/2016**

**Decided on: 03/07/2017**

## **ORDER**

1. The brief facts of the present appeal are as under.

The appellant Miss Jacinta H. Sousa mergulhao by her application dated 9/2/2016 filed u/s 6(1) of the RTI Act 2005 sought from the Respondent No. 1 PIO, Executive Engineer ,Div XVI, Vidyut Bhavan, Margao certain information on five points as stated therein in the said application.

2. That the said application was responded by the APIO on 26/2/2016 thereby furnishing the information to the appellant.

3. Being not satisfied with the information provided to her, the appellant then preferred first appeal on 1/3/16 with the

superintendent Engineer, being first appellate authority who is the Respondent No. 3 herein .

4. The Respondent No. 3 FAA. by an order dated 26/4/16 directed the SPIO and APIO to furnish correct information at serial No. 2,3,4and 5 to the satisfaction of the appellant immediately.
5. Since the order Respondent No. 3 FAA was not complied by respondent 1 and 2 and she did not receive any information, the appellant approached this commission by way of second appeal on 30/5/2016 thereby seeking relief for directions to SPIO to furnish her required information as sought by her at serial No. 2,3,4and 5 of RTI application and for invoking penal provisions.
6. In pursuant to the notice of this commission , appellant appeared in person . The respondent No.1 also appeared in person. On behalf of Respondent No. 2 PIO Shri Sunil Wadekar appeared. The Respondent No. 1 APIO offered to furnish the information once again to the appellant and accordingly same was furnished to the appellant.
7. On 3/7/2017 the appellant submitted that the information which is furnished to her in the course of the hearing , her application dated 9/2/2016 filed u/s 6(1) of the Act stands fully replied . She further submitted that even though the information furnished to her belatedly she has no any further grievance against PIO and that respondent being her colleagues in order to maintain cordial relationship with them she desire to waive the prayer of penalty. Accordingly she endorsed her say on the memo of appeal.
8. In view of submission of the appellant and the endorsement made by her I find no reason to proceed with the appeal

Hence the Appeal stands disposed . proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Proceeding stands close.

Sd/-

**(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa